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Sofia Brenner and Burkhard Külshammer*


#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$. We study the structure of the finite groups $G$ for which the socle of the center of $F G$ is an ideal in $F G$ and classify the finite $p$-groups $G$ with this property. Moreover, we give an explicit description of the finite groups $G$ for which the Reynolds ideal of $F G$ is an ideal in $F G$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a field and consider the group algebra $F G$ of a finite group $G$ and its center $Z F G$. The question when the Jacobson radical of $Z F G$ is an ideal in $F G$ has been answered by Clarke [4], Koshitani [7] and Külshammer [9]. We now study the corresponding problem for the socle $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ of $Z F G$ as well as for the Reynolds ideal $R(F G)$ of $F G$. In a prequel to this paper [3], we have already given some approaches to these problems for general symmetric algebras. Now, our aim is to analyze the structure of the finite groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ or $R(F G)$ are ideals of $F G$ in a group-theoretic manner. For the Reynolds ideal, we obtain the following characterization:

Theorem A. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ be a finite group. Then the Reynolds ideal $R(F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $G^{\prime}$ is contained in the p-core $O_{p}(G)$ of $G$.

As a consequence of this result, it follows that if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, one has $G=P \rtimes H$ for a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. Based on this decomposition, we derive some fundamental results on the structure of finite groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. Subsequently, we classify the finite $p$-groups $G$ with this property:

Theorem B. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if

[^0](i) $G$ has nilpotency class at most two, that is, $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(G)$ holds, or
(ii) $p=2$ and $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y(G) Z(G)$ with $Y(G)=\left\langle f g^{-1}:\{f, g\}\right.$ is a conjugacy class of length 2 of $G\rangle$.
In particular, $G$ is metabelian.
Note that since the $p$-groups of nilpotency class at most two form a large subclass of the finite $p$-groups, the condition that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ is often satisfied. One implication of Theorem B generalizes to arbitrary finite groups:

Theorem C. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ be a finite group. Suppose that one of the following holds:
(i) $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z\left(O_{p}(G)\right)$, or
(ii) $p=2$ and $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y\left(O_{p}(G)\right) Z\left(O_{p}(G)\right)$.

Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$.
The above results are major ingredients for the proof of the main result of this paper, which is a decomposition of $G$ into a central product:

Theorem D. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$. Suppose that $G$ is a finite group for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ and write $G=P \rtimes H$ for a Sylow p-subgroup $P$ of $G$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$ as before. Then $G$ is the central product of the centralizer $C_{P}(H)$ and the p-residual group $O^{p}(G)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{P}(H)\right)$ and $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F O^{p}(G)\right)$ are ideals in $F C_{P}(H)$ and $F O^{p}(G)$, respectively. Furthermore, we have

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G
$$

where $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \in F G$ denotes the sum of the elements in $Z(P) G^{\prime}$.
This statement will allow us to restrict our investigation to the case $P=G^{\prime}$. A detailed analysis of the structure of finite groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, based on the above results, will be carried out in a sequel to this paper.

We proceed as follows: First, we introduce our notation (see Section 2) and study the general structure of the finite groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ or $R(F G)$ are ideals in $F G$ (see Section 3). In Section 4, we classify the $p$-groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ for a field $F$ of characteristic $p>0$. In Section 5, we derive the decomposition of $G$ given in Theorem D.

## 2. Notation

Let $G$ be a finite group and $p$ a prime number. As customary, let $G^{\prime}, Z(G)$ and $\Phi(G)$ denote the derived subgroup, the center and the Frattini subgroup of $G$, respectively. For elements $a, b \in G$, we define their commutator as $[a, b]=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$. We write $[g]$ for the conjugacy class of $g \in G$ and set $\mathrm{Cl}(G)$ to be the set of conjugacy classes of $G$. The nilpotency class of a nilpotent group $G$ will be denoted by $c(G)$. Recall that every $p$-group is nilpotent. For subsets $S$ and $T$ of $G$, let $C_{T}(S)$ and $N_{T}(S)$ denote the centralizer and the normalizer of $S$ in $T$, respectively. As customary, let $O_{p}(G), O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $O_{p^{\prime}, p}(G)$ be the $p$-core, the $p^{\prime}$-core and the $p^{\prime}, p$-core of $G$, respectively. By $O^{p}(G)$ and $O^{p^{\prime}}(G)$, we denote the $p$-residual subgroup and the $p^{\prime}$-residual subgroup of $G$, respectively. As customary, let $g_{p}$ and $g_{p^{\prime}}$ be the $p$-part and the $p^{\prime}$-part of an element $g \in G$, respectively. The $p^{\prime}$-section of $g$ is given by all elements in $G$ whose $p^{\prime}$-part is conjugate to $g_{p^{\prime}}$. We write $G=G_{1} * G_{2}$ if $G$ is the central product of subgroups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, that is, we have $G=\left\langle G_{1}, G_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left[G_{1}, G_{2}\right]=1$.

For a field $F$ and a finite-dimensional $F$-algebra $A$, we denote by $J(A)$ and $\operatorname{soc}(A)$ its Jacobson radical and (left) socle, the sum of all minimal left ideals of $A$, respectively. Both $J(A)$ and $\operatorname{soc}(A)$ are ideals in $A$. In this paper, an ideal $I$ of $A$ is always meant to be a two-sided ideal, and we denote it by $I \unlhd A$. Additionally, we study the Reynolds ideal $R(A):=\operatorname{soc}(A) \cap Z(A)$ of $A$. Furthermore, let $K(A)$ denote the commutator space of $A$, that is, the $F$-subspace of $A$ spanned by all elements of the form $a b-b a$ with $a, b \in A$.

In the following, we consider the group algebra $F G$ of $G$ over $F$. Recall that $F G$ is a symmetric algebra with symmetrizing linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda: F G \rightarrow F, \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \mapsto a_{1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For subsets $S$ and $T$ of $F G$, we write $\operatorname{lAnn}_{T}(S)$ and $\mathrm{rAnn}_{T}(S)$ for the left and the right annihilator of $S$ in $T$, respectively, and $\operatorname{Ann}_{T}(S)$ if both subspaces coincide. For $H \subseteq G$, we set $H^{+}:=\sum_{h \in H} h \in F G$. It is well-known that the elements $C^{+}$with $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ form an $F$-basis of the center $Z F G$ of $F G$.

In this paper, we mainly study the Jacobson radical $J(Z F G)$ and the socle $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ of the center of $F G$ as well as the Reynolds ideal $R(F G)$. All three spaces are ideals in $Z F G$, but not necessarily in $F G$. Note that $J(Z F G)=J(F G) \cap Z F G$ holds (see [10, Theorem 1.10.8]) and that by [10, Theorem 1.10.22], we have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F G}(J(Z F G))$. Furthermore, observe that $J(Z F G), \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ and $R(F G)$ are ideals in $F G$ if and only if they are closed under multiplication with elements of $F G$ since they are additively closed.

We recall the definition of the augmentation ideal

$$
\omega(F G)=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in F G: \sum_{g \in G} a_{g}=0\right\} .
$$

An $F$-basis of $\omega(F G)$ is given by $\{1-g: 1 \neq g \in G\}$. If $F$ is a field of characteristic $p>0$ and $G$ is a $p$-group, then $J(F G)$ and $\omega(F G)$ coincide (see [10, Theorem 1.11.1]). For a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$, we consider the canonical projection

$$
\nu_{N}: F G \rightarrow F[G / N], \quad \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} \cdot g N .
$$

Its kernel is given by $\omega(F N) \cdot F G=F G \cdot \omega(F N)$ (see [10, Proposition 1.6.4]).

## 3. General properties

Let $F$ be a field. In this part, we answer the question for which finite groups $G$ the Reynolds ideal $R(F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. Moreover, we derive structural results on finite groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. In the next section, these will be applied in order to classify the finite groups of prime power order with this property.

Concerning the choice of the underlying field $F$, we note the following:

## Remark 3.1.

(i) Assume that $F$ is of characteristic zero or of positive characteristic not dividing $|G|$. By Maschke's theorem, the group algebra $F G$ is semisimple. In particular, $J(F G)=J(Z F G)=0$ follows, which yields $R(F G)=\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=Z F G$. Since $F G$ is unitary, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$ if and only if $Z F G=F G$ holds, that is, if and only if $G$ is abelian.
(ii) Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $G$ be a finite group. Then $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z \mathbb{F}_{p} G\right)$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}_{p} G$ if and only if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. A similar statement holds for the Reynolds ideal.

From now on until the end of this paper, we therefore assume that $F$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$.

This section is organized as follows: We first derive a criterion for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ (see Section 3.1) and answer the question when the Reynolds ideal of $F G$ is an ideal in $F G$ (see Section 3.2). In Section 3.3, we investigate $p$-blocks of $F G$. Subsequently, we find a basis for $J(Z F G)$ (see Section 3.4) and construct elements in $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ arising from normal $p$-subgroups of $G$ (see Section 3.5). In Section 3.6, we study the case that $G^{\prime}$ is contained in the center of a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. We conclude this part by investigating the transition to quotient groups in Section 3.7 and studying central products in Section 3.8.
3.1. Criterion for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$. Let $G$ be a finite group. In this section, we derive an equivalent criterion for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$.
Lemma 3.2. We have $F G \cdot K(F G)=F G \cdot \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. As $F G / \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \cdot F G$ is isomorphic to the commutative algebra $F\left[G / G^{\prime}\right]$, we have $K(F G) \subseteq \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \cdot F G$ and hence $K(F G) \cdot F G \subseteq \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \cdot F G$ follows. Now let $f: F G \rightarrow$ $F G / K(F G) \cdot F G$ be the canonical projection map. For all $a, b \in G$, we have $f([a, b])=$ $f(a) f(b) f(a)^{-1} f(b)^{-1}=1$ since $F G / K(F G) \cdot F G$ is a commutative algebra. For $g \in G^{\prime}$, this yields $f(g)=1$ and hence $f(g-1)=0$. This shows $\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(f)=K(F G) \cdot F G$, which proves the claim.

Lemma 3.3. The socle $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ holds.

Proof. By [9, Lemma 2.1], we have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ if and only if $K(F G) \cdot \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=0$ holds, which is equivalent to $F G \cdot K(F G) \cdot \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=0$. By Lemma 3.2, this is equivalent to $F G \cdot \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \cdot \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=0$, that is, to $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq \operatorname{rAnn}_{F G}\left(\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ (see [11, Lemma 3.1.2]).
3.2. Reynolds ideal. Let $G$ be a finite group. In this section, we answer the question when the Reynolds ideal $R(F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3.4. The following properties are equivalent:
(i) $R(F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$.
(ii) $G^{\prime} \subseteq O_{p}(G)$.
(iii) $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$.

In this case, we have $R(F G)=O_{p}(G)^{+} \cdot F G$.
Proof. Suppose that $R(F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. Then $F G$ is a basic $F$-algebra by [3, Lemma 2.2]. Since $F$ is algebraically closed, this implies that $F G / J(F G)$ is commutative. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \cdot F G=K(F G) \cdot F G \subseteq J(F G)$. Thus, for $g \in G^{\prime}$, the element $g-1$ is nilpotent. Hence there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0=(g-1)^{p^{n}}=g^{p^{n}}-1$. This shows that $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group and hence contained in $O_{p}(G)$.

Now assume $G^{\prime} \subseteq O_{p}(G)$ and let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$. Then $G^{\prime} \subseteq P$ follows, so $P$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $G / P$ is abelian. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, $P$ has a complement $H$ in $G$. Moreover, $H$ is isomorphic to $G / P$ and thus abelian.

Finally suppose that $G=P \rtimes H$ holds, where $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and $H$ is an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group. In particular, we have $P=O_{p}(G)$. We obtain $J(F G)=\omega(F P) \cdot F G$ and $\operatorname{soc}(F G)=\operatorname{Ann}_{F G}(J(F G))=P^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq Z F G$, so that $R(F G)=P^{+} \cdot F G$ is an ideal in $F G$.

This proves Theorem A. Moreover, we obtain the following necessary condition for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ :
Corollary 3.5. If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$, we have $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$.
Proof. By [3, Lemma 1.3], $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ implies $R(F G) \unlhd F G$. With this, the claim follows from Theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.6. Let $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$.
(i) By [5, Theorem 5.3.5], we have $P=C_{P}(H)[P, H]$. Due to $[P, H] \subseteq G^{\prime}$, this yields $G=H P=H C_{P}(H)[P, H]=H C_{P}(H) G^{\prime}$. Note that $[G, H]=[P, H]=$ $[[P, H], H]=\left[G^{\prime}, H\right]=\left[\left[G^{\prime}, H\right], H\right]$ holds by $[5$, Theorem 5.3.6] and that this is a normal subgroup of $P H=G$.
(ii) We have $O^{p}(G)=N$ for $N:=H[G, H]$ : Clearly, $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Since $G / N$ is a $p$-group, we have $O^{p}(G) \subseteq N$. On the other hand, $G / O^{p}(G)$ is a $p$-group, which implies $H \subseteq O^{p}(G)$ and hence $N \subseteq O^{p}(G)$ as $O^{p}(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. In particular, this implies $O^{p}(G)^{\prime} \subseteq[G, H]$. On the other hand, we have $[G, H]=\left[\left[G^{\prime}, H\right], H\right] \subseteq\left[O^{p}(G), O^{p}(G)\right]=O^{p}(G)^{\prime}$ by (i) and hence $O^{p}(G)^{\prime}=[G, H] \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}\left(O^{p}(G)\right)$ follows.
(iii) Since $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is contained in the abelian group $H$ and $\left[P, O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right] \subseteq P \cap O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=$ 1 holds, we have $O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \subseteq Z(G)$. Hence [5, Theorem 6.3.3] implies $C_{G}(P) \subseteq$ $O_{p^{\prime} p}(G)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \times P$, and we conclude that $C_{G}(P)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \times Z(P)$ holds.
(iv) Since $R(F G)$ is spanned by the $p^{\prime}$-section sums of $G$ (see [8, Equation (39)]), every $p^{\prime}$-section is of the form $h P$ for some $h \in H$.
3.3. Blocks and the $p^{\prime}$-core. Let $G$ be an arbitrary finite group. In this section, we investigate the conditions $\operatorname{soc}(Z(B)) \unlhd B$ and $R(B) \unlhd B$ for a $p$-block $B$ of $F G$.

Remark 3.7. Let $F G=B_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus B_{n}$ be the decomposition of $F G$ into its $p$-blocks. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(B_{n}\right)\right)
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(B_{i}\right)\right) \unlhd B_{i}$ holds for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the analogous statement is true for the Reynolds ideal. Furthermore, it is known that the principal blocks of $F G$ and $F \bar{G}$ are isomorphic for $\bar{G}:=G / O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

For the Reynolds ideal, we obtain the following result:
Lemma 3.8. The following are equivalent:
(i) There exists a block $B$ of $F G$ for which $R(B) \unlhd B$ holds.
(ii) For the principal block $B_{0}$ of $F G$, we have $R\left(B_{0}\right) \unlhd B_{0}$.
(iii) $G^{\prime}$ is contained in $O_{p^{\prime} p}(G)$.

Proof. Assume that (i) holds. By [9, Proposition 4.1], this implies $B \cong B_{0}$ and hence (ii) holds. Now assume that (ii) holds. By [9, Remarks 2.2 and 3.1], every simple $B_{0}$-module is one-dimensional. Since the intersection of the kernels of the simple $B_{0}$-modules is given by $O_{p^{\prime} p}(G)$ (see [1, Theorem 2]), we obtain $G^{\prime} \subseteq O_{p^{\prime} p}(G)$. Finally, assume that (iii) holds.

Then we have $\bar{G}^{\prime} \subseteq O_{p}(\bar{G})$. Theorem 3.4 yields $R(F \bar{G}) \unlhd F \bar{G}$, which implies $R\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right) \unlhd \bar{B}_{0}$ by Remark 3.7. Since $B_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ are isomorphic, we obtain $R\left(B_{0}\right) \unlhd B_{0}$.

Concerning the analogous problem for the socle of the center, we first observe the following:
Lemma 3.9. The following are equivalent:
(i) There exists a block $B$ of $F G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z(B)) \unlhd B$ holds.
(ii) For the principal block $B_{0}$ of $F G$, we have $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(B_{0}\right)\right) \unlhd B_{0}$.
(iii) For the principal block $\bar{B}_{0}$ of $F \bar{G}$, we have $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right) \unlhd \bar{B}_{0}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows by [9, Proposition 4.1] and the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from the fact that $B_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ are isomorphic.

This has the following important consequence:
Lemma 3.10. We have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ if and only if $R(F G) \unlhd F G$ and $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G}) \unlhd$ $F \bar{G}$ hold.

Proof. If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$, then $R(F G) \unlhd F G$ holds by [3, Lemma 1.3] and $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G})$ is an ideal of $F \bar{G}$ by [3, Proposition 2.10]. For the latter, note that $F \bar{G} \cong F G / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{O_{p^{\prime}}(G)}\right)$ can be viewed as a quotient algebra of $F G$. Now let $R(F G)$ and $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G})$ be ideals in $F G$ and $F \bar{G}$, respectively. By Remark 3.7, this yields $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right) \unlhd$ $\bar{B}_{0}$ and hence $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(B_{0}\right)\right) \unlhd B_{0}$ (see Lemma 3.9). Since $R(F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, all blocks of $F G$ are isomorphic to $B_{0}$ by [9, Proposition 4.1]. By Remark 3.7, we then obtain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$.

Remark 3.11. Assume that $G$ is of the form $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G}) \unlhd F \bar{G}$ (see Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.10). By going over to the quotient group $G / O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, we may therefore restrict our investigation to groups $G$ with $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$.
3.4. Basis for $J(Z F G)$. Let $G=P \rtimes H$ be a finite group with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$ (see Theorem 3.4). The aim of this section is to determine an $F$-basis for $J(Z F G)$. In the given situation, the kernel of the canonical map $\nu_{P}: F G \rightarrow F[G / P]$ is given by $J(F G)$ (see [10, Corollary 1.11.11]). In the following, we distinguish two types of conjugacy classes:
Remark 3.12. Let $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$. We obtain $|\bar{C}|=1$ for the image $\bar{C} \in \mathrm{Cl}(G / P)$ of $C$ in $G / P$ since this group is abelian. Now two cases can occur:

- $|C|$ is divisible by $p$ : Then $\nu_{P}\left(C^{+}\right)=|C| \cdot \bar{C}^{+}=0$ yields $C^{+} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{P}\right) \cap Z F G=$ $J(Z F G)$.
- $|C|$ is not divisible by $p$ : In this case, $|P|$ divides $\left|C_{G}(g)\right|$ for any $g \in C$. This yields $P \subseteq C_{G}(g)$ and hence $C \subseteq C_{G}(P)$. As customary, we decompose $g=g_{p^{\prime}} g_{p}$ into its $p^{\prime}$-part and $p$-part. Note that $g_{p^{\prime}} \in O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \subseteq Z(G)$ and $g_{p} \in Z(P)$ hold by Remark 3.6. Due to $g_{p^{\prime}} \in Z(G)$, we have $C=g_{p^{\prime}}\left[g_{p}\right]$ and the element $C^{+}-|C| \cdot g_{p^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{P}\right) \cap Z F G=J(Z F G)$.

Definition 3.13. For $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ with $C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, we set $b_{C}:=C^{+}$if $p$ divides $|C|$, and $b_{C}:=C^{+}-|C| \cdot g_{p^{\prime}}$ otherwise.

With this, we obtain the following basis for $J(Z F G)$ :

Theorem 3.14. An F-basis for $J(Z F G)$ is given by $B:=\left\{b_{C}: C \in \operatorname{Cl}(G), C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right\}$.
Proof. By Remark 3.12, we have $B \subseteq J(Z F G)$. Note that the elements in $B \cup O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ form an $F$-basis for $Z F G$. Since the algebra $F O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is semisimple, $J(Z F G)$ is spanned by $B$.

Remark 3.15. The decomposition $F G=\bigoplus_{h \in H} F h P$ gives rise to an $H$-grading of $F G$. Note that the basis of $J(Z F G)$ given in Theorem 3.14 consists of homogeneous elements with respect to this grading. In particular, $J(Z F G)$ is a $H$-graded subspace of $F G$. It follows that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F G}(J(Z F G))$ is a $H$-graded subspace of $F G$ as well, that is, we have

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\bigoplus_{h \in H}(\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \cap F h P)
$$

3.5. Elements in $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Let $G$ be an arbitrary finite group. In this section, we study elements of $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ which arise from certain normal $p$-subgroups of $G$. Using these, we show that $G^{\prime}$ has nilpotency class at most two if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. Moreover, we derive a decomposition of $G$ which will later be used to prove Theorem D.

Lemma 3.16. Let $N$ be a normal p-subgroup of $G$ and set $M:=\left\{x \in[N, G]: x^{p} \in\right.$ $[N,[N, G]]\}$. For $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ with $C \nsubseteq C_{G}(N)$, we have $\nu_{M}\left(C^{+}\right)=0$ and hence $M^{+} \cdot C^{+}=$ 0 . In particular, this implies $\nu_{N}\left(C^{+}\right)=0$ and $N^{+} \cdot C^{+}=0$.

Proof. Note that $M$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Let $R$ be an orbit of the conjugation action of $N$ on $C$ and consider an element $r \in R$. Then $C \nsubseteq C_{G}(N)$ implies $N \nsubseteq C_{G}(r)$, which yields $|R|=\left|N: C_{N}(r)\right| \neq 1$. Set $X:=\langle N, R\rangle=\langle N, r\rangle$.

First consider the case $[N, G] \subseteq Z(N)$. Then the map $f: N \rightarrow N, n \mapsto[n, r]$ is a group endomorphism with kernel $C_{N}(r)$. We set $S:=\operatorname{Im}(f)$. Then we have $|R|=\left|N: C_{N}(r)\right|=$ $|S|$, so in particular, $|S|$ is a nontrivial power of $p$. Let $\bar{G}:=G / M$ and set $\bar{g}:=g M \in \bar{G}$ for $g \in G$ (similarly for subsets of $G$ ). Note that $\bar{R}$ is an orbit of the conjugation action of $\bar{N}$ on $\bar{C}$. As before, we obtain $|\bar{R}|=\left|\bar{N}: C_{\bar{N}}(\bar{r})\right|=|\bar{S}|=|S: S \cap M|$. Since $S \subseteq[N, G]$ is a nontrivial $p$-group, $|S \cap M|$ is divisible by $p$. With this, we obtain

$$
\nu_{M}\left(R^{+}\right)=\frac{|R|}{|\bar{R}|} \cdot \bar{R}^{+}=|S \cap M| \cdot \bar{R}^{+}=0 .
$$

Now we consider the general case. Let $L:=[N,[N, G]]$. We set $\widetilde{G}:=G / L$ and write $\widetilde{g}:=g L \in \widetilde{G}$ for $g \in G$ (similarly for subsets of $G$ ). Note that we have $[\widetilde{N},[\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{G}]]=1$ and hence $[\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{G}] \subseteq Z(\widetilde{N})$. First assume $C_{\tilde{N}}(\widetilde{r})=\widetilde{N}$. For any $n \in N$, one then has $[n, r] \in L$, which implies $\nu_{L}\left(R^{+}\right)=|R| \cdot \widetilde{r}=0$. Due to $L \subseteq M$, this yields $\nu_{M}\left(R^{+}\right)=0$. Now assume $C_{\tilde{N}}(\widetilde{r}) \subsetneq \widetilde{N}$. In particular, we have $\widetilde{C} \nsubseteq C_{\tilde{G}}(\widetilde{N})$. The first part of the proof yields $\nu_{\tilde{M}}\left(\widetilde{R}^{+}\right)=0$, which implies

$$
\nu_{\tilde{M}}\left(\nu_{L}\left(R^{+}\right)\right)=\nu_{\tilde{M}}\left(\frac{|R|}{|\widetilde{R}|} \cdot \widetilde{R}^{+}\right)=\frac{|R|}{|\widetilde{R}|} \cdot \nu_{\tilde{M}}\left(\widetilde{R}^{+}\right)=0 .
$$

Due to $\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{M}=(G / L) /(M / L) \cong G / M$, the $\operatorname{map} \nu_{\tilde{M}} \circ \nu_{L}$ can be identified with $\nu_{M}$ and hence $\nu_{M}\left(R^{+}\right)=0$ follows. Since $R$ was arbitrary, this yields $\nu_{M}\left(C^{+}\right)=0$. In particular, we have $M^{+} . C^{+}=0$.

Proposition 3.17. Let $N$ be a normal p-subgroup of $G$ and set $M:=\left\{x \in[N, G]: x^{p} \in\right.$ $[N,[N, G]]\}$ as in Lemma 3.16. Moreover, let $K$ be a characteristic subgroup of $C_{G}(N)$ which satisfies $K^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{G}(N)\right)$. Then we have $(M K)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. In particular, this applies to $K:=O^{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(N)\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.16, ZFG is the sum of the subspaces $Z F G \cap F C_{G}(N)$ and $Z F G \cap$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{M}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{M}\right)=\omega(F M) F G=J(F M) F G \subseteq J(F G)$ holds (see [10, Proposition 1.6.4]), we have $Z F G \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{M}\right) \subseteq J(Z F G)$. Since $Z F G \cap F C_{G}(N)$ is contained in $Z F C_{G}(N)$, the space $J\left(Z F G \cap F C_{G}(N)\right) \subseteq J\left(Z F C_{G}(N)\right)$ is annihilated by $K^{+}$. This proves that $(M K)^{+}$annihilates $J(Z F G)$. Now let $K:=O^{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(N)\right)$. Since $K^{+}$annihilates $J\left(F C_{G}(N)\right)=J(F K) F C_{G}(N)$ (see [10, Theorem 1.11.10]), we have $K^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{G}(N)\right)$ as required.

Now we return to the assumption that $G$ is of the form $P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$ as in Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.18. Suppose that $N$ is a normal p-subgroup of $G$. Then $\left(C_{P}(N) M\right)^{+} \in$ $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ follows, where $M:=\left\{x \in[N, G]: x^{p} \in[N,[N, G]]\right\}$ is defined as in Lemma 3.16. In particular, we have $\left(C_{P}(N) N\right)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, then $G^{\prime} \subseteq C_{P}(N) M$ follows.

Proof. Since $C_{P}(N)$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $C_{G}(N)$, we have $O^{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(N)\right)=$ $C_{P}(N)$. Proposition 3.17 then yields $\left(C_{P}(N) M\right)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Since $C_{P}(N) N$ is a union of cosets of $C_{P}(N) M$, we obtain $\left(C_{P}(N) N\right)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, then $G^{\prime} \subseteq C_{P}(N) M$ follows by Lemma 3.3.

The following result will be particularly useful for our derivation on $p$-groups:
Corollary 3.19. We have $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq \operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq O_{p}(Z(G))^{+} \cdot F G$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.18, we obtain $(Z(P) M)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ for $M=\left\{x \in[P, G]: x^{p} \in\right.$ $[P,[P, G]]\} \subseteq G^{\prime}$. In particular, this implies $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Since we have $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq Z F G$, this implies $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Now for $z \in O_{p}(Z(G))$, the element $z-1$ is nilpotent and hence contained in $J(Z F G)$. For $x=\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$, this yields $x \cdot(z-1)=0$, which translates to $a_{g}=a_{g z}$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $x \in O_{p}(Z(G))^{+} \cdot F G$ follows.

Observe that the right inclusion in the preceding lemma holds for arbitrary finite groups. The next result is the central ingredient in the proof of Theorem D:

Proposition 3.20. Suppose that $G^{\prime} \subseteq C_{P}(N) N$ holds for every normal p-subgroup $N$ of $G$. Then the following hold:
(i) We have $\left[P, G^{\prime}\right] \subseteq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, this implies $G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq Z(P)$ and that the nilpotency class of $G^{\prime}$ is at most two. Moreover, we obtain $\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) We have $P=C_{P}(H) *[P, H]$ and $G=C_{P}(H) * O^{p}(G)$.

Proof.
(i) Let $D$ be a critical subgroup of $P$ (in the sense of [5, Theorem 5.3.11]). Then $D$ is normal in $G$, and $Z(D)$ contains $\Phi(D), C_{P}(D)$ and $[P, D]$. By assumption, we have $G^{\prime} \subseteq D C_{P}(D)=D$. Hence we have

$$
\left[P, G^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[P, D] \subseteq Z(D) \subseteq C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

which implies $\left[P, G^{\prime}\right] \subseteq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. With the 3-subgroups lemma, we obtain $\left[G^{\prime \prime}, P\right]=$ $\left[\left[G^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right], P\right]=1$, that is, $G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq Z(P)$. Furthermore, for $x \in G^{\prime}$, we have $x \in D$ and hence $x^{p} \in Z(D) \subseteq C_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $x^{p} \in Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) By (i), we have $B:=\left[C_{P}(H),[P, H]\right] \subseteq\left[P, G^{\prime}\right] \subseteq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, $B$ is normal in $C_{P}(H)[P, H]=P$ and $P H=G$. Due to
$\left[C_{P}(H), G\right]=\left[C_{P}(H), C_{P}(H)[P, H] H\right]=\left[C_{P}(H), C_{P}(H)[P, H]\right] \subseteq C_{P}(H) B$,
the subgroup $N:=C_{P}(H) B$ is normal in $G$. Moreover, we find $[N, H]=\left[C_{P}(H) B, H\right]$ $=[B, H]$. By assumption, we have $G^{\prime} \subseteq C_{P}(N) N$. By Remark 3.6, this yields

$$
[P, H]=\left[G^{\prime}, H\right] \subseteq\left[C_{P}(N) N, H\right] \subseteq[N, H]\left[C_{P}(N), H\right]
$$

since for $c \in C_{P}(N), n \in N$ and $h \in H$, we have $[c n, h]=c[n, h] c^{-1}[c, h]=$ $[n, h][c, h]$. Hence $[P, H] \subseteq[B, H]\left[C_{P}(N), H\right] \subseteq B C_{P}(N)$ follows, which yields

$$
B=\left[C_{P}(H),[P, H]\right] \subseteq\left[C_{P}(H), B C_{P}(N)\right]=\left[C_{P}(H), B\right] \subseteq[P, B] .
$$

Hence $B=1$ follows, which yields $P=C_{P}(H) *[P, H]$. By Remark 3.6, this implies $G=C_{P}(H) * H[P, H]=C_{P}(H) * O^{p}(G)$.
By Lemma 3.18, the properties given in Proposition 3.20 hold whenever $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. We conclude this section with a result on $p$-groups, which is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.18:

Lemma 3.21. If $G$ is a p-group satisfying $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$, then $G$ is metabelian.
Proof. Let $A$ be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of $G$. Since $C_{G}(A)=A$ holds, Lemma 3.18 yields $G^{\prime} \subseteq A$. In particular, $G^{\prime}$ is abelian.
3.6. Special case $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(P)$. Let $G=P \rtimes H$ be a finite group with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. In this section, we show that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(P)$ holds.

## Lemma 3.22.

(i) Let $g \in G$ with $g_{p} \in Z(P)$. Then $[g]=[h] \cdot\left[g_{p}\right]$ holds for $h \in H \cap g P$.
(ii) For $u \in Z(P)$ and $h \in C_{G}(H)$, we have $h[u] \subseteq[h u]$.
(iii) Assume $[P, G] \subseteq Z(P)$. Let $h \in C_{G}(H)$ and write $[h]=U_{h} h$ with $U_{h}:=\{[a, h]: a$ $\in G\}$. Then $U_{h}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

Proof.
(i) By Remark 3.6, $g P$ is a $p^{\prime}$-section of $G$. In particular, $[h]$ is the unique $p^{\prime}$-conjugacy class contained in $g P$ and hence $\left[g_{p^{\prime}}\right]=[h]$ follows. Since $H$ is abelian, we have $g_{p^{\prime}}=u h u^{-1}$ for some $u \in P$. Due to $g_{p} \in Z(P)$, this yields $g=u h g_{p} u^{-1}$ and hence $[g]=\left[h g_{p}\right]$. We may therefore assume $g_{p^{\prime}}=h$. For $x=p_{x} h_{x}$ with $p_{x} \in P$ and $h_{x} \in H$, we have $x g x^{-1}=p_{x} h p_{x}^{-1} \cdot h_{x} g_{p} h_{x}^{-1}$. This yields

$$
[g]=\left\{p_{x} h p_{x}^{-1}: p_{x} \in P\right\} \cdot\left\{h_{x} g_{p} h_{x}^{-1}: h_{x} \in H\right\}=[h] \cdot\left[g_{p}\right] .
$$

(ii) Let $u^{\prime} \in[u]$. Due to $u \in Z(P)$, there exists an element $h^{\prime} \in H$ with $h^{\prime} u h^{\prime-1}=u^{\prime}$ (see Remark 3.6). Since $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ commute, we obtain $h u^{\prime}=h^{\prime} h u h^{\prime-1} \in[h u]$.
(iii) We have $U_{h}=\{[a, h]: a \in P\}$. As $\left[p_{1} p_{2}, h\right]=\left[p_{1}, h\right] \cdot\left[p_{2}, h\right]$ holds for all $p_{1}, p_{2} \in P$, $U_{h}$ is a subgroup of $G^{\prime}$. Since the elements of $P$ centralize $U_{h} \subseteq[P, G] \subseteq Z(P)$ and conjugation with elements of $H$ permutes the elements $[a, h]$ with $a \in P$, it follows that $U_{h}$ is normal in $G$.

Corollary 3.23. Let $g \in G$ with $g_{p} \in Z(P)$. For $y \in Z F G$ with $y \cdot\left[g_{p^{\prime}}\right]^{+}=0$, we have $y \cdot[g]^{+}=0$.
Proof. The group $P$ acts on $[g]$ by conjugation with orbits of the form $\left[g_{p^{\prime}}\right] u$ with $u \in P$ (see Lemma 3.22). In particular, $[g]$ is a disjoint union of sets of this form. Hence $y \cdot\left[g_{p^{\prime}}\right]^{+}$ implies $y \cdot[g]^{+}=0$.

Lemma 3.24. Let $y=\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. For $h \in C_{G}(H)$ and $u \in Z(P)$, we have $a_{h u}=a_{h}$.

Proof. We may assume $u \neq 1$. By Remark 3.6, $m:=|[u]|$ is not divisible by $p$. Hence we have $b_{\left[u^{-1}\right]}=\left[u^{-1}\right]^{+}-m \cdot 1$ (see Theorem 3.14) and the coefficient of $h$ in $y \cdot b_{\left[u^{-1}\right]}=0$ is given by

$$
\sum_{u^{\prime} \in[u]} a_{h u^{\prime}}-m a_{h}=m\left(a_{h u}-a_{h}\right),
$$

since the elements in $h[u]$ are conjugate by Lemma 3.22 (ii). Since $p$ does not divide $m$, we obtain $a_{h u}=a_{h}$.

Theorem 3.25. If $G=C_{G}(H) Z(P)$ holds, then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Z(P)^{+} \cdot F G$ follows. In particular, if we have $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(P)$, then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$.

Proof. Consider an element $y=\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Let $g \in G$ and write $g=c z$ with $c \in C_{G}(H)$ and $z \in Z(P)$. By Lemma 3.24, we have $a_{g}=a_{c z}=a_{c}$. Hence $y \in Z(P)^{+} . F G$ follows. If additionally $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(P)$ holds, then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Z(P)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ follows, so $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ (see Lemma 3.3).

This proves the first part of Theorem C. The next example shows that the condition $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(P)$ is not necessary for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$.

Example 3.26. Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p=3$ and consider the group $G=\operatorname{SmallGroup}(216,86)$ in GAP [12]. We have $G=G^{\prime} \rtimes H$, where $G^{\prime}$ is the extraspecial group of order 27 and exponent three, and $H \cong C_{8}$ permutes the nontrivial elements of $G^{\prime} / G^{\prime \prime}$ transitively and acts on $G^{\prime \prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ by inversion. In particular, $G^{\prime}$ is nonabelian. For $h \in H$, we set $S_{h}:=\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \cap F h G^{\prime}$. Due to the $H$-grading of $F G$ introduced in Remark 3.15, it suffices to show $S_{h}=F\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+}$for all $h \in H$. Clearly, we have $\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \in S_{h}$. The derived subgroup $G^{\prime}$ decomposes into the $G$-conjugacy classes $\{1\}$, $G^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{1\}$ and $G^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime \prime}$. For $1 \neq h \in H$, the coset $h G^{\prime}$ consists of a single conjugacy class for $\operatorname{ord}(h)=8$ and of two conjugacy classes for $\operatorname{ord}(h) \in\{2,4\}$. In the first case, we directly obtain $S_{h}=F\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+}$. In the latter case, we have $[h]^{+} \cdot\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+}=\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \neq 0$, which implies $[h]^{+} \notin \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ since $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+} \in J(Z F G)$ holds. Since $\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+}-[h]^{+} \notin \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ holds as well, $S_{h}=F\left(h G^{\prime}\right)^{+}$follows. Moreover, this shows $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)^{+} \notin \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ and hence $S_{1}=F\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+}$follows as well. By Lemma 3.3, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$.
3.7. Quotient groups. Let $G$ be a finite group of the form $P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. We fix a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G$ with quotient group $\bar{G}:=G / N$. Our aim is to study the transition to the group algebra $F \bar{G}$. The image of an element $g \in G$ in $\bar{G}$ will be denoted by $\bar{g}$ (similarly for subsets of $G$ ). Note that $\bar{G}$ is of the form $\bar{P} \rtimes \bar{H}$ with $\bar{P} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(\bar{G})$ and the abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $\bar{H}$. In the following, we consider the canonical projection map

$$
\nu_{N}: F G \rightarrow F \bar{G}, \quad \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_{g} \cdot g N,
$$

together with its adjoint map $\nu_{N}^{*}: F \bar{G} \rightarrow F G$, which is defined by requiring $\lambda\left(\nu_{N}^{*}(x) y\right)=$ $\bar{\lambda}\left(x \nu_{N}(y)\right)$ for all $x \in F \bar{G}$ and $y \in F G$. Here, $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the symmetrizing linear forms of $F G$ and $F \bar{G}$ given in (2.1), respectively. It is easily verified that $\nu_{N}^{*}$ is given by

$$
\nu_{N}^{*}: F \bar{G} \rightarrow F G, \quad \sum_{g N \in \bar{G}} a_{g N} \cdot g N \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_{g N} \cdot g .
$$

Note that $\nu_{N}^{*}$ is a linear map with image $N^{+} \cdot F G$ and that it is injective as $\nu_{N}$ is surjective.
Remark 3.27. For $a \in F \bar{G}$, it is easily seen that $a \in\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$ is equivalent to $\nu_{N}^{*}(a) \in$ $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$.

If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$, then $\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\nu_{N}(J(Z F G))\right)$ is an ideal in $F \bar{G}$ by [3, Proposition 2.10]. For $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ with $C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, let $b_{C}$ denote the associated element of $J(Z F G)$ (see Definition 3.13) and consider the basis $B:=\left\{b_{C}: C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G), C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right\}$ of $J(Z F G)$ (see Theorem 3.14). Clearly, $\nu_{N}(J(Z F G))$ is spanned by the images of the elements in $B$. We now derive a more convenient generating set.

Lemma 3.28. Let $C \in \operatorname{Cl}(G)$ be a conjugacy class with $C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. We have $b_{C} \notin$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{N}\right)$ if and only if $\bar{C} \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ holds and $k:=|C| /|\bar{C}|$ is not divisible by $p$. In this case, the basis element $b_{\bar{C}}$ of $J(Z F \bar{G})$ corresponding to $\bar{C} \in \mathrm{Cl}(\bar{G})$ is well-defined and we have $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=k \cdot b_{\bar{C}}$.

Proof. Observe that $\bar{C}$ is indeed a conjugacy class of $\bar{G}$ and that $\nu_{N}\left(C^{+}\right)=k \cdot \bar{C}^{+}$with $k:=|C| /|\bar{C}|$ holds. Suppose first that $p$ divides $|C|$, so $b_{C}=C^{+}$holds. Then $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right) \neq$ 0 is equivalent to $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and in this case we have $|\bar{C}| \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Since $O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G}) \subseteq Z(\bar{G})$ holds, this implies $\bar{C} \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$. Moreover, we have $b_{\bar{C}}=\bar{C}^{+}$and thus $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=k \cdot b_{\bar{C}}$.

It remains to consider the case $C \subseteq C_{G}(P)$. There, we have $\bar{C} \subseteq C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P})$. If $\bar{C} \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ holds, then $b_{\bar{C}}$ is defined, and we have $b_{C}=C^{+}-|C| \cdot g_{p^{\prime}}$ and $b_{\bar{C}}=\bar{C}^{+}-|\bar{C}| \cdot \bar{g}_{p^{\prime}}$ for $g \in C$. This shows that $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=k \cdot b_{\bar{C}}$ holds. If, in addition, $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right) \neq 0$ follows. Suppose conversely that $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right) \neq 0$ holds. We write $C=g_{p^{\prime}} D$ for $g_{p^{\prime}} \in O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $D \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ with $D \subseteq Z(P)$ (see Remark 3.12). Assume that $\bar{C} \subseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ holds. Then we have $\bar{D}=\bar{g}_{p^{\prime}}^{-1} \bar{C} \subseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ due to $\bar{g}_{p^{\prime}} \in O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$. As $D$ consists of $p$-elements, we must have $\bar{D}=\{1\}$, which yields the contradiction $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=\nu_{N}\left(g_{p^{\prime}} D^{+}-|D| \cdot g_{p^{\prime}}\right)=0$. This shows that $\bar{C} \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ holds. Hence we have $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=k \cdot b_{\bar{C}}$, so that $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Definition 3.29. Set $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G):=\left\{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G): C \nsubseteq O_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right.$ and $\left.b_{C} \notin \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nu_{N}\right)\right\}$ and let

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}^{+}(G):=\left\{b_{C}: C \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)\right\}
$$

be the set of corresponding basis elements of $J(Z F G)$ (see Definition 3.13). $\mathrm{By} \overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G) \subseteq$ $\mathrm{Cl}(\bar{G})$, we denote the set of images of the conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$ and set

$$
\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}^{+}(G):=\left\{b_{\bar{C}}: \bar{C} \in \overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)\right\},
$$

where $b_{\bar{C}}$ denotes the basis element of $J(Z F \bar{G})$ corresponding to $\bar{C}$.
If $N$ is a $p$-group, the $p^{\prime}$-conjugacy classes of length divisible by $p$ in $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$ can be easily characterized:

Lemma 3.30. Consider a normal p-subgroup $N$ of $G$ and let $C \nsubseteq C_{G}(P)$ be a $p^{\prime}$-conjugacy class. Then we have $C \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$ if and only if $C \subseteq C_{G}(N)$ holds.

Proof. If $C \nsubseteq C_{G}(N)$ holds, we have $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=\nu_{N}\left(C^{+}\right)=0$ by Lemma 3.16, so $C \notin$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$. Now let $h \in C \subseteq C_{G}(N)$. Since $h$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element, [5, Theorem 5.3.15] implies $C_{G / N}(h N)=C_{G}(h) N / N=C_{G}(h) / N$ and hence $|\bar{C}|=\left|G / N: C_{G / N}(h N)\right|=$ $\left|G: C_{G}(h)\right|=|C|$. Thus we have $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=\nu_{N}\left(C^{+}\right)=\bar{C}^{+} \neq 0$, which yields $C \in$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$.

Now let $N$ again be an arbitrary normal subgroup of $G$. We obtain the following necessary condition for $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ :

Theorem 3.31. We have

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\nu_{N}(J(Z F G))\right)=\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}^{+}(G)\right)=: A .
$$

If $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$, we have $A \subseteq\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$.
Proof. Clearly, the elements $\nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)$ with $C \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$ span $\nu_{N}(J(Z F G))$. For $C \in$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, N}(G)$ and $y \in F \bar{G}$, we have $y \cdot \nu_{N}\left(b_{C}\right)=0$ if and only if $y \cdot b_{\bar{C}}=0$ holds (see Lemma 3.28). This implies $A=\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\nu_{N}(J(Z F G))\right)$. Now assume that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. By [3, Proposition 2.10], $A$ is an ideal in $F \bar{G}$, so by [9, Lemma 2.1], we have $K(F \bar{G}) \cdot A=0$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, this implies $A \subseteq\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$.

As a first application, we give an alternative proof of the following special case of [3, Proposition 2.10]:

Corollary 3.32. Let $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ be an ideal of $F G$. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G}) \unlhd F \bar{G}$ holds.
Proof. Since $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}^{+}(G)$ is a subset of $J(Z F \bar{G})$, Theorem 3.31 yields

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G})=\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}} J(Z F \bar{G}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, N}^{+}(G)\right) \subseteq\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}
$$

and we obtain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G}) \unlhd F \bar{G}$ by Lemma 3.3.
3.8. Central products. Let $G$ be a finite group. We consider the question when $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$ in case that $G=G_{1} * G_{2}$ is a central product of two subgroups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Central products will play an important role throughout our investigation, for instance in the decomposition of $G$ given in Theorem D.

Theorem 3.33. Let $G=G_{1} * G_{2}$ be the central product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd$ $F G$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{i}\right) \unlhd F G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Proof. First assume that $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{i}\right)$ is an ideal in $F G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. By [3, Proposition 1.9], this implies

$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(Z\left(F G_{1} \otimes_{F} F G_{2}\right)\right) \unlhd F G_{1} \otimes_{F} F G_{2}
$$

Since $F\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \cong F G_{1} \otimes_{F} F G_{2}$ holds, this yields $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right) \unlhd F\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$. The group $G$ is isomorphic to a quotient group of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$, so $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ by Corollary 3.32 .

Now assume conversely that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$. By Corollary 3.5, $G$ is of the form $P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. First suppose that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ holds. Then $Z:=G_{1} \cap G_{2} \subseteq Z(G) \subseteq C_{G}(P)=Z(P)$ is a $p$-group. We consider the canonical projection $\nu:=\nu_{G_{2}}: F G \rightarrow F\left[G / G_{2}\right]$. By Theorem 3.31, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F\left[G / G_{2}\right]}(\nu(J(Z F G))) \subseteq\left(\left[G / G_{2}\right]^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F\left[G / G_{2}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that there is a canonical isomorphism $G_{1} / Z \cong G / G_{2}$. Furthermore, we have $Z F G_{1} \subseteq Z F G$ and $\nu\left(Z F G_{1}\right)=\nu(Z F G)$, so also $\nu\left(J\left(Z F G_{1}\right)\right)=\nu(J(Z F G))$ holds. Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]}\left(\nu_{1}\left(J\left(Z F G_{1}\right)\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\left[G_{1} / Z\right]^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]
$$

where $\nu_{1}: F G_{1} \rightarrow F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]$ denotes the canonical projection. Let $x_{1} \in \operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{1}\right)$ and observe that $G_{1}^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group. By Corollary 3.19, we have $x_{1} \in Z^{+} . F G_{1}=\nu_{1}^{*}\left(F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]\right)$. Let $y_{1} \in F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]$ with $x_{1}=\nu_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}\right)$. Then [3, Remark 2.9] yields

$$
y_{1} \in \operatorname{Ann}_{Z F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]}\left(\nu_{1}\left(J\left(Z F G_{1}\right)\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\left[G_{1} / Z\right]^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F\left[G_{1} / Z\right]
$$

By Remark 3.27, this yields $x_{1} \in\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G_{1}$ and hence $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{1}\right)$ is an ideal in $F G_{1}$ (see Lemma 3.3). By symmetry, we obtain $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{2}\right) \unlhd F G_{2}$.

Now we consider the general case. For $\bar{G}:=G / O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, we have $\bar{G}=\bar{G}_{1} * \bar{G}_{2}$ with $\bar{G}_{i}:=G_{i} O_{p^{\prime}}(G) / O_{p^{\prime}}(G)(i=1,2)$. Note that $\bar{G}_{i} \cong G_{i} / O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \cap G_{i} \cong G_{i} / O_{p^{\prime}}\left(G_{i}\right)$ follows since $O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \cap G_{i}=O_{p^{\prime}}\left(G_{i}\right)$ holds. By the above, we obtain $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F \bar{G}_{i}\right) \unlhd F \bar{G}_{i}$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group, also $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ are $p$-groups. Lemma 3.10 then yields $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{i}\right) \unlhd F G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Remark 3.34. For $G \cong G_{1} \times G_{2}$, the statement of Theorem 3.33 is a special case of [3, Proposition 1.9].

## 4. Groups of prime power order

Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. In this section, we classify the finite $p$-groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ (see Theorem B). Additionally, these results will be generalized to arbitrary finite groups (see Theorem C). First we prove that the property $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ is preserved under isoclinism (see Section 4.1). Subsequently, we distinguish the cases $p \geq 3$ (see Section 4.2) and $p=2$ (see Section 4.3).
4.1. Isoclinism. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. The aim of this section is to show that the property $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ is invariant under isoclinism in the following sense: If $Q$ is a finite $p$-group isoclinic to $G$, then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F Q) \unlhd F Q$ holds precisely if we have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$. The proof of this statement is based on some observations on the center of $G$ and the transition to the quotient group $\bar{G}:=G / Z(G)$.

## Lemma 4.1.

(i) We have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Z(G)^{+} \cdot F G$.
(ii) $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$ if and only if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\left(Z(G) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ holds.

Proof. The first statement follows by Corollary 3.19. Now let $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ be an ideal of $F G$. Lemma 3.3 then yields $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$. Together with (i), this implies $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq\left(Z(G) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$, and by Corollary 3.19 , we obtain equality. Conversely, $\left(Z(G) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ is obviously an ideal in $F G$.

In the given situation, we have

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}:=\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, Z(G)}(G)=\{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G): C \nsubseteq Z(G),|C|=|\bar{C}|\}
$$

Note that the length of every conjugacy class in $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}$ is a nontrivial power of $p$. Let $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}:=\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, Z(G)}(G)$ be the set of images of the classes in $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}$ and denote by $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}^{+}:=$
$\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, Z(G)}^{+}(G)$ the corresponding class sums in $F \bar{G}$. In this situation, the implication given in Theorem 3.31 is an equivalence:

Lemma 4.2. The socle $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$ holds.

Proof. Consider the map $\nu_{Z(G)}^{*}: F \bar{G} \rightarrow F G$ introduced in Section 3.7. Lemma 4.1 yields

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Z(G)^{+} \cdot F G=\operatorname{Im} \nu_{Z(G)}^{*}
$$

By [3, Remark 2.9], we therefore obtain

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\nu_{Z(G)}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}^{+}\right)\right) .
$$

By Remark 3.27, we have $\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \subseteq\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$ if and only if $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ holds, which is equivalent to $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ by Lemma 3.3.

Now we proceed to the main result of this section. Recall that two finite $p$-groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are isoclinic if there exist isomorphisms $\varphi: G_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\beta: G_{1} / Z\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $G_{2} / Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ such that whenever $\beta\left(a_{1} Z\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=a_{2} Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\beta\left(b_{1} Z\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=b_{2} Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ hold for $a_{1}, b_{1} \in G_{1}$ and $a_{2}, b_{2} \in G_{2}$, then $\varphi\left(\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]\right)=\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ follows. We set $\bar{G}_{i}:=G_{i} / Z\left(G_{i}\right)$ and write $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, i}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, i}$ to distinguish the sets $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be finite isoclinic p-groups. Then $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{1}\right) \unlhd F G_{1}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{2}\right) \unlhd F G_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi: G_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\beta: \bar{G}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{G}_{2}$ be the corresponding isomorphisms. We first show that $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 2}$ are in bijective correspondence under $\beta$. Let $C_{1} \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, 1}$ and set $\bar{C}_{1}$ to be its image in $\bar{G}_{1}$. Then $\bar{C}_{2}:=\beta\left(\bar{C}_{1}\right)$ is a conjugacy class of $\bar{G}_{2}$. Consider a preimage $C_{2} \in \mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{2}\right)$ of $\bar{C}_{2}$. Let $x_{2} \in C_{2}$ and assume that $1 \neq\left[x_{2}, g_{2}\right] \in Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ holds for some $g_{2} \in G_{2}$. Choose elements $x_{1} \in C_{1}$ and $g_{1} \in G_{1}$ with $\beta\left(x_{1} Z\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=x_{2} Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\beta\left(g_{1} Z\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=g_{2} Z\left(G_{2}\right)$. We then obtain $\varphi\left(\left[x_{1}, g_{1}\right]\right)=\left[x_{2}, g_{2}\right] \in Z\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{1\}$. Note that $\beta\left(\left[x_{1}, g_{1}\right] Z\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=\left[x_{2}, g_{2}\right] Z\left(G_{2}\right)=Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ holds, so we have $1 \neq\left[x_{1}, g_{1}\right] \in Z\left(G_{1}\right)$. This implies $\left|\bar{C}_{1}\right|<\left|C_{1}\right|$, which is a contradiction to $C_{1} \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}, 1}$. Hence we obtain $\bar{C}_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 2}$. The other implication follows by symmetry.

Extending $\beta F$-linearly gives rise to an $F$-algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\beta}: F \bar{G}_{1} \rightarrow F \bar{G}_{2}$. By the above, we have $\widehat{\beta}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 1}^{+}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 2}^{+}$. Now if $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{1}\right)$ is an ideal of $F G_{1}$, Lemma 4.2 implies $\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}_{1}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 1}^{+}\right) \subseteq\left(\bar{G}_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}_{1}$. Applying the isomorphism $\widehat{\beta}$ yields $\operatorname{Ann}_{Z F \bar{G}_{2}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}, 2}^{+}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(\bar{G}_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}_{2}$. By Lemma 4.2, $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F G_{2}\right)$ is an ideal in $F G_{2}$. The other implication follows by symmetry.
4.2. Odd characteristic. In this section, we assume that $F$ is an algebraically closed field of odd characteristic $p$.

Remark 4.4. For an abelian $p$-group $G$, we have $\prod_{g \in G} g=1$ since every nontrivial element in $G$ differs from its inverse and their product is the identity element.

Proposition 4.5. Let $G$ be a finite p-group of nilpotency class exactly two. Then there exists an element $y \in Z F G$ with $y \notin\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ such that $y \cdot S^{+}=0$ holds for all subgroups $1 \neq S \subseteq G^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $G^{\prime}$ is a nontrivial $p$-group, there exists a nontrivial group homomorphism $\alpha: G^{\prime} \rightarrow F$. We define an element $y:=\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in F G$ by setting $a_{g}:=\alpha(g)$ for $g \in G^{\prime}$ and $a_{g}=0$ otherwise. We have $y \in F G^{\prime} \subseteq F Z(G) \subseteq Z F G$. Now consider a subgroup $1 \neq S \subseteq G^{\prime}$. The coefficient of $w \in G$ in the product $y \cdot S^{+}$is given by $\sum_{s \in S} a_{w s^{-1}}$. For $w \notin G^{\prime}$, all summands are zero. For $w \in G^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{s \in S} a_{w s^{-1}}=\sum_{s \in S} \alpha\left(w s^{-1}\right)=|S| \cdot \alpha(w)+\sum_{s \in S} \alpha\left(s^{-1}\right)=\alpha\left(\prod_{s \in S} s^{-1}\right)=\alpha(1)=0 .
$$

In the second and third step, we use that $\alpha$ is a group homomorphism. The fourth equality is due to Remark 4.4. This implies $y \cdot S^{+}=0$ as claimed.

In this special situation, the condition given in Theorem 3.25 is in fact equivalent to $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ :
Theorem 4.6. Let $G$ be a finite p-group. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $G$ has nilpotency class at most two.
Proof. If $G$ is of nilpotency class at most two, we have $G^{\prime} \subseteq Z(G)$ and hence $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ by Theorem 3.25. For the converse implication, we use induction on the nilpotency class of $G$. Note that $\bar{G}:=G / Z(G)$ has nilpotency class $c(G)-1$. First assume $c(G)=3$. We apply Proposition 4.5 to the group $\bar{G}$ and consider the element $y \in Z F \bar{G}$ constructed therein. Let $\bar{C} \in \overline{\mathrm{Cl}}_{p^{\prime}}$ be a conjugacy class and let $c \in \bar{C}$. Since $\bar{G}^{\prime} \subseteq Z(\bar{G})$ holds, the map $\gamma: \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}, g \mapsto[g, c]$ is a group homomorphism and hence we have

$$
\bar{C}=\left\{g c g^{-1}: g \in \bar{G}\right\}=\{[g, c] c: g \in \bar{G}\}=S c,
$$

where $S:=\operatorname{Im} \gamma$ is a subgroup of $\bar{G}^{\prime}$. Note that we have $|S|=|\bar{C}|>1$. By Proposition 4.5, we have $y \cdot S^{+}=0$ and hence $y \cdot \bar{C}^{+}=y \cdot(S c)^{+}=0$. Since $y \notin\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F \bar{G}$ holds, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is not an ideal of $F G$ (see Lemma 4.2). If $G$ is of nilpotency class $c(G)>3$, we obtain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F \bar{G}) \nexists F \bar{G}$ by induction. Corollary 3.32 then yields $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \not \Perp F G$.
Remark 4.7. The analogous construction fails for $p=2$ since the statement of Remark 4.4 does not hold for groups of even order.
4.3. Characteristic $p=2$. Throughout, let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic two. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that $G$ is a finite 2-group.
Remark 4.8. Let $C=\{f, g\}$ be a conjugacy class of length two of $G$. An inner automorphism of $G$ either fixes both $f$ and $g$, or it interchanges the two elements. For $c:=g f^{-1} \in G^{\prime}$, this yields $C_{G}(f)=C_{G}(g) \subseteq C_{G}(c)$. For $h \in G \backslash C_{G}(f)$, we have $h c h^{-1}=h g f^{-1} h^{-1}=f g^{-1}=c^{-1}$. This shows that the subgroup $\langle c\rangle \subseteq G^{\prime}$ is normal in $G$.

For every conjugacy class $C:=\{f, g\}$ of length two, we set $Y_{C}:=\left\langle g f^{-1}\right\rangle$. In the following, we consider the subgroup

$$
Y(G):=\left\langle Y_{C}: C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G),\right| C|=2\rangle .
$$

Note that $Y(G)$ is characteristic in $G$. More precisely, we obtain the following:
Lemma 4.9. We have $Y(G) \subseteq Z(\Phi(G))$. In particular, $Y(G)$ is abelian.
Proof. Note that $Y(G) \subseteq G^{\prime} \subseteq \Phi(G)$ holds. Now let $C=\{f, g\}$ be a conjugacy class of length two. Since $C_{G}(f)$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$, Remark 4.8 yields $\Phi(G) \subseteq C_{G}(f) \subseteq$ $C_{G}\left(g f^{-1}\right)$ and hence $\Phi(G)$ centralizes $Y_{C}$. Thus $Y(G)$ is contained in the center of $\Phi(G)$, so in particular, it is abelian.

Lemma 4.10. We have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Y(G)^{+} \cdot F G$.
Proof. Let $y=\sum_{g \in G} a_{g} g \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. For a conjugacy class $C=\{f, g\}$ of length two, we have $c:=g f^{-1} \in Y(G)$ and the condition $y \cdot C^{+}=0$ yields $a_{x}=a_{x c^{-1}}$ for all $x \in G$. By induction, this implies $a_{x}=a_{x c_{1}^{-1} \ldots c_{n}^{-1}}$ for every $x \in G$ and all elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ arising from $G$-conjugacy classes of length two as above. This shows that $y$ has constant coefficients on the cosets of $Y(G)$, that is, we obtain $y \in Y(G)^{+} \cdot F G$.

With this preliminary result, we obtain the following characterization of the 2-groups $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$.
Theorem 4.11. The socle $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if and only if $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y(G) Z(G)$ holds.
Proof. Suppose $G^{\prime} \nsubseteq Y(G) Z(G)$, so $Y(G) Z(G) \cap G^{\prime}$ is a proper subgroup of $G^{\prime}$. By [6, Theorem III.7.2], there exists a subgroup $N \unlhd G$ with $Y(G) Z(G) \cap G^{\prime} \subseteq N \subseteq G^{\prime}$ and $\left|G^{\prime}: N\right|=2$. We set $M:=Y(G) Z(G) N$. Note that $M^{+} \in Z F G$ holds since $M$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. We now show that $M^{+}$annihilates the basis of $J(Z F G)$ given in Theorem 3.14.

For $z \in Z(G) \subseteq M$, we have $(1+z) \cdot M^{+}=0$. For a $G$-conjugacy class $C=\{f, g\}$ of length two, we obtain $C^{+} \cdot Y(G)^{+}=f Y(G)^{+}+g Y(G)^{+}=0$ since $g f^{-1} \in Y(G)$ holds. Hence $M^{+}$annihilates $C^{+}$. Every conjugacy class $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$ with $|C| \geq 4$ contains an even number of elements in every coset of $N$ since $C$ is contained in a coset of $G^{\prime}$ and $\left|G^{\prime}: N\right|=2$ holds. This implies that $C^{+}$is annihilated by $N^{+}$and hence by $M^{+}$. Summarizing, we obtain $M^{+} \in \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. Moreover, $M \cap G^{\prime}=N \subsetneq G^{\prime}$ implies $M^{+} \notin\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$. By Lemma 3.3, this yields $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \nexists F G$.

Conversely, assume that $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y(G) Z(G)$ holds. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.10, we have

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq(Y(G) Z(G))^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G
$$

and hence $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$ (see Lemma 3.3).
This completes the proof of Theorem B.
Remark 4.12. Similarly to the case of odd characteristic, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ holds if $G$ has nilpotency class at most two.

However, the next example demonstrates that in contrast to the case of odd characteristic, the nilpotency class of a finite 2-group $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ can be arbitrarily large.

## Example 4.13.

(i) Let $G=D_{2^{n}}=\left\langle r, s: r^{2^{n-1}}=s^{2}=1\right.$, srs $\left.=r^{-1}\right\rangle$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dihedral group of order $2^{n}$. For $n \leq 2, G$ is abelian and hence $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ holds. For $n \geq 3$, we have $G^{\prime}=\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle=Y(G) Z(G)$ and hence $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd F G$ follows by Theorem 4.11. The 2-groups of maximal class of a fixed order are isoclinic. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ if $G$ is a semihedral or generalized quaternion 2-group.
(ii) By [3, Theorem 4.12], every 2-group $G$ of order at most 16 satisfies $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \unlhd$ $F G$. Up to isomorphism, there exist 51 groups of order 32 . Out of those, 7 groups are abelian and 26 groups have nilpotency class precisely two. Additionally, 13 groups satisfy the property $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y(G) Z(G)$.
(iii) Consider the holomorph $G=\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes(\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$of $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$, which has order 32 . It has 11 conjugacy classes and we have $|Z(G)|=2$. Since $G / Z(G) \cong D_{8} \times C_{2}$ has precisely 10 conjugacy classes, the images of the non-central conjugacy classes of $G$ in $G / Z(G)$ are pairwise distinct. For every such conjugacy class $C$, we therefore have $Z(G) C \subseteq C$ and hence $\nu_{Z(G)}\left(C^{+}\right)=0$. This proves $J(Z F G)^{2}=$ 0 , so $J(Z F G)=\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ follows. In particular, we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=$ $\operatorname{dim} J(Z F G)=10$. Due to $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=4$, the space $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G$ is eight-dimensional, so it does not contain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. By Lemma 3.3, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is not an ideal in $F G$.

We conclude this part with a generalization of Theorem 4.14 to arbitrary finite groups, which is a stronger variant of Theorem 3.25:

Theorem 4.14. Let $G$ be an arbitrary finite group which satisfies $G^{\prime} \subseteq Y\left(O_{2}(G)\right) Z\left(O_{2}(G)\right)$. Then $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal of $F G$.

Proof. The given condition implies $G^{\prime} \subseteq O_{2}(G)$, so by Theorem 3.4, we have $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P:=O_{2}(G) \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(G)$ and an abelian $2^{\prime}$-group $H$. Note that $G^{\prime}$ is abelian as $Y(P)$ is abelian (see Lemma 4.9). By Remark 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=C_{P}(H) G^{\prime}=C_{P}(H) Y(P) Z(P) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G^{\prime}$ is abelian, $C_{P}(H)^{\prime}$ is normal in $P$. We consider the group $\bar{P}:=P / C_{P}(H)^{\prime}$ and denote the image of $S \subseteq P$ in $\bar{P}$ by $\bar{S}$. Then we have

$$
\overline{Y(P)} \subseteq \bar{P}^{\prime}=\overline{\left(C_{P}(H) Y(P)\right)^{\prime}}=\left[\overline{C_{P}(H)}, \overline{Y(P)}\right] \subseteq[\bar{P}, \overline{Y(P)}]
$$

This implies $\overline{Y(P)}=1$, so $Y(P) \subseteq C_{P}(H)^{\prime}$ follows.
By (4.1), we then have $[P, H]=[Z(P), H]$ and hence $P=C_{P}(H)[Z(P), H]$ follows. Since $C_{P}(H)$ centralizes $W:=H[Z(P), H] \subseteq H Z(P)$ and $C_{P}(H) \cap[Z(P), H]=1$ follows by [5, Theorem 5.3.6], we obtain $G=C_{P}(H) \times W$. It is then easily verified that $Y(P)=$ $Y\left(C_{P}(H)\right)$ holds. With Dedekind's identity, we obtain

$$
C_{P}(H)^{\prime} \subseteq G^{\prime} \cap C_{P}(H) \subseteq Y\left(C_{P}(H)\right) Z(P) \cap C_{P}(H) \subseteq Y\left(C_{P}(H)\right) \cdot Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.11, $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{P}(H)\right)$ is an ideal of $F C_{P}(H)$. Since $\operatorname{soc}(Z F W) \unlhd F W$ follows by Theorem $3.25, \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ by Theorem 3.33 .

This completes the proof of Theorem C.

## 5. Decomposition of $G$ into a central product

Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. We consider an arbitrary finite group $G$ for which $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$. By Theorem 3.4, we may write $G=P \rtimes H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and an abelian $p^{\prime}$-group $H$. In this section, we prove Theorem D. Combined with the results on $p$-groups from the last section, it reduces our investigation to the case that $G^{\prime}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$.

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem D). We have $G=C_{P}(H) * O^{p}(G)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{P}(H)\right)$ and $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F O^{p}(G)\right)$ are ideals in $F C_{P}(H)$ and $F O^{p}(G)$, respectively. The socle of $Z F G$ is explicitly given by

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.20, we have $G=C_{P}(H) * O^{p}(G)$. Theorem 3.33 then implies that $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{P}(H)\right)$ and $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F O^{p}(G)\right)$ are ideals in $F C_{P}(H)$ and $F O^{p}(G)$, respectively. It therefore remains to determine the structure of $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$. By the above decomposition, we have $Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right) \subseteq Z(G)$. By Corollary 3.19, we obtain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right)^{+} \cdot F G$. Together with Lemma 3.3, this implies

$$
\operatorname{soc}(Z F G) \subseteq\left(Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G
$$

In the last step, we used $Z(P)=Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right) Z\left(\left[G^{\prime}, H\right]\right) \subseteq Z\left(C_{P}(H)\right) G^{\prime}$. On the other hand, we have $\left(Z(P) G^{\prime}\right)^{+} \cdot F G \subseteq \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ by Lemma 3.16, which completes the proof of Theorem D.

This result on the structure of $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ generalizes the corresponding statement in Lemma 4.1. Note that, by Theorem D, the hypothesis that $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is an ideal in $F G$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{soc}(Z F G)=\left|G: G^{\prime} Z(G)\right|$. In particular, the dimension of $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is a divisor of $|G|$. We also observe that in this situation, $\operatorname{soc}(Z F G)$ is a principal ideal of $F G$ generated by a central element. Furthermore, we obtain the following reduction:

Remark 5.2. Since the structure of the $p$-group $C_{P}(H)$ is determined by Theorem B, it suffices to investigate the group $O^{p}(G)$. Inductively, we may assume $O^{p}(G)=G$. By Remark 3.6, this yields $P=G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, H\right]$. In particular, $C_{G^{\prime}}(H) \subseteq G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ follows (see [5, Theorem 5.2.3]), which implies $C_{G^{\prime}}(H) \subseteq Z(G)$. If additionally $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ holds, we obtain $C_{G^{\prime}}(H)=Z(G)$.

Moreover, we state the following consequence of Theorem D:
Theorem 5.3. We have $\operatorname{soc}(Z F P) \unlhd F P$. In particular, the group $P$ is metabelian and its nilpotency class is at most two if $p$ is odd.
Proof. By Theorem D, we have $P=C_{P}(H) *[P, H]$ and $\operatorname{soc}\left(Z F C_{P}(H)\right)$ is an ideal in $F C_{P}(H)$. Since $[P, H] \subseteq G^{\prime}$ has nilpotency class at most two (see Proposition 3.20), we obtain $\operatorname{soc}(Z F[P, H]) \unlhd F[P, H]$ by Theorem B. By Theorem 3.33, this yields $\operatorname{soc}(Z F P) \unlhd$ $F P$. In particular, it follows that $P$ is metabelian and that the nilpotency class of $P$ is at most two if $p$ is odd (see Theorem B).
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